MAUI PLANNING COMMISSION

COUNTY OF MAUI

OCTOBER 28, 2008

APPROVED 11309
Date

REGULAR MEETING

Held at the Planning Department Conference Room, First Floor, Kalana Pakui Building, 250 South High Street, Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii, commencing at 8:30 a.m., on October 28, 2008.

Reported by: Tonya McDade

Hawaii Certified Shorthand Reporter #447

Registered Professional Reporter

Certified Realtime Reporter Certified Broadcast Captioner

```
COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:
1
2
   Jonathan Starr, Chairperson
   William Iaconetti, Commissioner
3
   Wayne Hedani, Commissioner
4
   Bruce U'u, Commissioner
5
   Kent Hiranaga, Commissioner
 6
 7
   Ward Mardfin, Commissioner
   Donna Domingo, Commissioner
8
   STAFF PRESENT:
10
   Jeffrey Hunt, Planning Director
11
   James Giroux, Deputy Corporation Counsel
12
   Carolyn Takayama-Cordan, Secretary
   Robyn Loudermilk, Staff Planner
13
   Danny Dias, Staff Planner
14
   Joe Prutch, Staff Planner
15
16
   PRESS:
   Akaku: Maui Community Television, Kenny Hultquist,
17
18
        Videographer
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## OCTOBER 28, 2008

2.3

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

\*\*\*\*

CHAIR STARR: Good morning, one and all.

Welcome. This is the October 28th meeting of the Maui

Planning Commission. I have a full agenda here. And I

want to thank everyone for being here.

Commissioner Kent Hiranaga, Commissioner Bruce U'u,
Commissioner Ward Mardfin. We have Corporation Counsel,
and counsel for, specifically, our Planning Commission,
James Giroux. I am Jonathan Starr. We have Clayton
Yoshida, who is the -- takes care of things for the
current Division and is sitting in place of the
Director. We have Commissioner Donna Domingo. We have
Commissioner Dr. William Iaconetti. Carolyn
Takayama-Cordan, the Secretary for the Commission.
Planners Danny Dias, Robyn Loudermilk. And Kenny
Hultquist, videographer extraordinaire, who is filming
for Akaku. Thank you, everyone, for being here.

We will allow opportunities for public testimony on all items, you know, particularly the public hearing items. Which is, to a large extent, why we're here, to provide that opportunity. Public testimony will be allowed either before the start of the

agenda items, which will be in just a few short minutes, or before each individual item. We'll ask people to testify only once. And only in extraordinary circumstances, if new issues have been raised, will we allow a second testimony. So you have a choice of testifying before the entire meeting or before the individual item. And we ask that testimony be kept as short as possible, in no case longer than three minutes.

With that being said, just a couple of items on the agenda. We have the -- several items relating to the Department of Environmental Management which are split in two areas of the agenda. We have a public hearing, which would be Item B-1, and then we have C-1, under Communications. It is the Chair's feeling, along with the planner, Robyn Loudermilk, that we should take those serially together. And the same with the Ameron items, which are D-2 and C-2 and C-3. So we may look to change those in our agenda.

Also, we have an item coming up for the State
Department of Transportation, Airports Division that's
relating to runway safety improvements at the Kahului
Airport. There are people who will be flying in and
flying out from Honolulu for that for the State. They
asked for a specific time. And we've given them 1:00.
So I ask the body to bear with me. And we'll be needing

to shuffle things around, if we can, to accommodate them, so that when we come back from our lunch break, we go straight through the Department of Transportation, and then, after we deal with that item, move back to our serial agenda.

Anyway, we'll proceed with testimony for all the agenda items. And I do have some names on a list. And, once again, I ask everyone to be as brief as possible. And I want to thank everyone for coming and joining us today.

Our first testifier will be Michael Tratto, and the second will be Darrell Goo. I will ask you, please, to just introduce yourself for the record. And welcome, sir.

MR. MICHAEL TRATTO: Good morning,

Commissioners. My name is Michael Tratto. And I live

at 125 Alehele Place. I am here this morning to talk

about that proposed subdivision that's going to be built

just on and off of our street there in Kihei. This item

has come up before, other developers have come before,

and tried to do this type of project.

For me, the bottom line here, and for your -something to think about is this may be a very good
project. It's going to be a 14-lot subdivision. I
think 14 of our local families will take -- have the

opportunity to buy these -- these parcels and then build their homes. And I think that's a good thing. The problem is, it's in the wrong place. This is a flood zone. And the floodplain and the flood channel that goes through there that's just below this project has

never been maintained.

Now, I don't expect this developer to take on that responsibility. I don't think it's his responsibility.

I think it's been a long time. I've owned my lot there for -- since 1969. I know how it floods there.

And then we've had other developments go in. Kalama Hills went in. And they said it wouldn't be a problem.

But one October, three years ago, it was a big problem. We saw increased water. This wasn't a 100-year storm or 50-year storm event. This was just a heavy rainstorm. And it impacted not only our neighborhood, it went all the way to Kihei Road, closed down the supermarket. So there is a problem there with this drainage.

And, again, I don't expect the developer to take this on. It just has to be done before. Something -- it's a major Public Works issue that they need to

address. And if they would address this, take care of this problem, then this developer could go in there and -- and pour his concrete and put down his asphalt, build his roads.

2.3

I know he's going to build part of that collector road. That's great. You know, that's a concession that he's willing to give. And it will benefit, maybe, the residents in that area, but it's gonna open our substandard streets.

We have been in there a long time. And one of the things that -- the developer, when he came to Kihei and gave his presentation, my impression was, well, you folks have been there a long time, that's your tough luck, your road is substandard, that's how it was when you built your homes in there, that's just the way it is, we're gonna to put in new roads, we're gonna put in a collector road, we're gonna put in curbing, all that stuff, it will be all modern, up to new standards. But I got the impression -- and I will apologize to the developer if that's not what he meant.

MS. TAKAYAMA-CORDAN: Three minutes.

MR. MICHAEL TRATTO: But that's how he felt at the public meeting in Kihei. That we were there, too bad. So what if your street has no curbings, has no sidewalks, we're just gonna open it up and we're gonna

1 have increased traffic come down your street, you guys 2 are just gonna have to live with it.

I don't think that should be. I think you should take a step back, look at this project. It is a good project. It's going to help 14 families who will be able to build a home there. But it's just in the wrong place.

And I think that's all I have to say about this -- this project. And I thank you and I really thank you for the opportunity to speak to this body.

CHAIR STARR: Okay. Thank you very much.

Members, any questions for the testifier? Okay. Thank
you very much.

Next testifier, Darrell Goo, will be followed by Fred Wong. And please introduce yourself for the record.

MR. DARRELL GOO: Sure. Good morning,
Mr. Chairman, Members of the Maui Planning Commission.
My name is Darrell Goo. I am the Senior Vice President
of Grace Pacific Corporation. I am testifying here on
behalf of Ameron Hawaii who is seeking extension of the
current site at Camp 10.

We are a asphalt producer. We carry (inaudible) in that area. Our basic road mixes that are used to resurface the new roads here in Maui as well as

reconstruct or repave the existing roads is made up of 95 percent of aggregates which comes from Ameron. We have been at Ameron Camp 10 site since 1990. And we are a major producer and a contractor of asphalt pavement mixes, all in major islands of the State of Hawaii. We have 30 full-time Maui employees, and we also employ up to 20 independent trucking companies here on Maui.

Since 95 percent of our base product is from the quarry at Camp 10, they are a key local supplier for us in our business. Since our occupation of Ameron, at 1990, the benefits to the community have been numerous. We recently completed the paving of Mokulele Highway and Haleakala Highway. I'm quite sure all of you traveled on there. And our relationship with Ameron has been mutually beneficial. We have been working together using their localized aggregate products and building infrastructure, improving infrastructures on the island of Maui. And we hope to continue to do so.

Thank you.

CHAIR STARR: Okay. Members, any questions?
Okay. Thank you very much, Darrell.

Fred Wong, followed by Ken Kekona.

MR. WONG: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Maui Planning Commission. My name is Fred Wong, and I'm the President of Walker Industries

here on Maui. We are a producer of precast concrete products for underground construction, and have been located on the Ameron site since 1974. We employ 25 full-time Maui employees, and, also, use the services of three independent trucking companies and various contract businesses in -- in Kahului town.

Our primary business is to produce concrete product for construction, as I said, which include drainage structures, sanitary sewer, underground utility structures. Okay.

Notable projects recently have been Mokulele
Highway, Haleakala Highway widening. And we hope to
contribute to the upcoming Lahaina Bypass project, also.

Our relationship with Ameron is of both a sub-licensee within the quarry and, also, as a customer and purchaser of ready-mix concrete which comprise the majority of our product.

So the benefit of our relationship with Ameron is that we were able to contribute to the community by providing construction materials for the infrastructure for both Federal, State, County and private projects, both on Maui and statewide.

We also are participating in a drainage project for the Kahului Industrial Park, which the beginning of that was in 1995, which consisted of a

large drainage project underneath Hana Highway to drain the industrial park behind Home Depot, in that area. So we -- we expect to participate in the next phase of that, also.

Thank you very much.

CHAIR STARR: Okay. Members, any questions?

Okay. Thank you very much for coming before us today.

Next will be Ken Kekona, followed by, it looks like, Kevin Abalan.

MR. KEN KEKONA: Good morning, Mr. Chair,

Planning Commission. My name is Ken Kekona. I am an

employee of Ameron. I have been there for the past 20

years. I've also been a ready-mix driver, which is a

concrete driver. And now I am currently doing other

jobs in the quarry, which I am a dozer operator and,

also, a safety sentinel.

I ask your consideration in extending our use permit for Ameron Quarry. And not just the quarry, a family that we have been there for the past years. This location here has not only just provided jobs for us for the past 70 years, for the Maui workers, but we also extend our aggregates and everything else throughout the state of Hawaii. We have been helping a lot of people in our community. We've also -- our aggregates have

been gone throughout the state.

The most touching one that I have been a part of is the small little community of Kalaupapa. We sent aggregates all the way over there. And people there are very happy of what we have been helping them out along the way.

We do a lot of community -- we've taken care of Keopuolani Park. Helping all the construction people in not just creating new jobs, but, also, existing jobs that are there.

So I humbly ask you, as a family person, to look at all the aspects, and, please, by all means, in extending our use permit there, to helping us, just not me and all my fellow workers, but all the families of the state of Hawaii. We have been there for the longest time. And I am looking that we still continue to service the community and everyone else.

Thank you.

CHAIR STARR: Okay. Commissioner U'u has a question for you.

COMMISSIONER U'U: Good morning, Mr. Kekona.

MR. KEN KEKONA: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER U'U: How are jobs looking for you quys? How -- how --

MR. KEN KEKONA: Would I be able to address

that at a later, with our --

commissioner U'U: Yes. Yes. And follow up on your community service projects, about the Keopuolani Playground Park, which 80 percent ADA accessible. You guys at Hawaiian Cement donated roughly 300 yards of concrete so far absolutely free. And I thank you for that. And, hopefully, we will be finishing the park in January. But thank you. Mahalo.

MR. KEN KEKONA: Thank you.

CHAIR STARR: Okay. Thank you very much.

We have Kevin, followed by Sally Neubauer.

MR. KEVIN ABALAN: Good morning, Chair

Jonathan Starr, as well as the other Members of this

Planning Commission. My name is Kevin Abalan. I reside

up in Makawao. I am in the employ of Ameron for -- like

Mr. Kekona mentioned, we started about approximately the

same time, which was 20 years ago. And I previously

started as a ready-mix driver. I was also a batch plant

operator. Now, a few months ago, the company promoted

me to a sales position that -- which I currently hold at

this moment.

But, you know, like was previously stated,
Ameron, we were looking for a positive side in voting
yes on this. Where previously you started in 1988,
obviously, I seen the development of Maui County take

place. Yes, we have been involved with a lot of hotels, but, also, we have been involved with like Maui Memorial Hospital recent renovation, Haleakala Highway, Mokulele Highway. As well as, for me personally, I had the opportunity to work with other members of our company to work on Kahoolawe in clearing out the ordinates, but we also poured concrete on the island as well.

So, really, this position that we're proposing and trying to ask for a positive vote, it not only affects ourselves as Ameron, but, also, with Maui Paving, Walker Industries. For us, personally, we do have approximately 40-plus employees at Ameron here on Maui. And so we want to continue in supplying the community as well as other development.

Basically, we are a producer where people would normally -- we would not discriminate against anyone who would come to us for probably concrete or aggregates. What I am trying to get at is that, say, a person would come up to me and say, "Eh, Kevin, you know, I need concrete for this particular project," I would not hold that thing and say, you know, "I can't deliver it to you because I don't agree with what you doing." But, basically, what I am trying to say, though, is that we do want to help all people, whether it be private or, you know, in the public sector.

But like was mentioned before by Mr. Kekona, we humbly ask for your vote and a positive vote on this matter in extending our Land Use Permit at this quarry site. Thanks.

CHAIR STARR: Okay. Thank you very much for coming forward today, sir.

We have Sally, I believe it's Sally Neubauer.

And, please, introduce yourself. I'm sorry if I mangled
your name.

MS. SALLY NEUBAUER: Good morning, President and Commissioners. My name is Sally Neubauer. And you didn't mangle it. It could be Neubauer, too, but it's anglo-sized. And I'm a new property owner at 28 Hoolalei Way, and received this notice about this meeting today. Before I got over to the island, I received it on the mainland.

And because I am new to this process, this is the first time I've been before you and the first time I've understood anything proposed for this piece of property. And I understood from the previous gentleman speaking that the previous proposal was for 14 subdivision -- lots of -- subdivision of 14 lots, and the developer has now increased that to 48. So that's a huge increase, in my opinion.

And I think part of the charm of the hill

```
where this area is, is that it shouldn't be so densely
 1
   developed. And I would urge you to work with the
 2
 3
    developer to knock those subdivisions down so it's not
 4
    so massive. Because you can -- you can look at the map
 5
   that they sent out and you can see the comparable
 6
   properties over here. I live over here on Hoolalei,
 7
   next door. You can see that if you were to sort of
 8
    sketch in that kind of comparable design, it would not
 9
   pencil out to 48.
10
              So I urge you to try to work with the
11
   developer and make that less.
12
              Thank you very much.
13
              CHAIR STARR: Commissioner Mardfin has a
14
    question for you. Please, wait.
15
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Excuse me. Do you
16
   happen to know the size of your lot? If you don't, I
17
   would understand.
              MS. SALLY NEUBAUER: I think it's 1,700
18
19
    something.
20
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     Square feet? Probably
21
    17,000 square feet?
              MS. SALLY NEUBAUER: 17,000, right.
22
23
              CHAIR STARR: Commissioner U'u.
24
              COMMISSIONER U'U: Question. How much housing
25
    is in your subdivision, how many homes? The subdivision
```

```
1
   where you --
 2
              MS. SALLY NEUBAUER: It's Keala Hills.
 3
              COMMISSIONER U'U: Roughly?
 4
             MS. SALLY NEUBAUER: I could get back to you
 5
   on that.
             I have all that information. I think I have
 6
   it at home.
                 I don't think I brought it with me.
 7
              COMMISSIONER U'U: Okay. Thank you.
 8
              MS. SALLY NEUBAUER:
                                   Is there -- is there a
 9
   way I could contact you after this hearing?
10
              COMMISSIONER U'U: I quess so.
11
              MS. SALLY NEUBAUER:
                                   Yeah?
12
              CHAIR STARR: We can figure out from the maps.
13
              COMMISSIONER U'U: Yeah, we can look at it.
14
             MS. SALLY NEUBAUER: Okay. All right.
                                                      Thank
15
   you.
16
              CHAIR STARR:
                            Okay. Thank you very much.
              Other members of the public wishing to give
17
18
   testimony on any agenda item at this time, please make
19
   yourself known. Okay. Not seeing any, the initial
20
   public testimony portion of this meeting is now closed.
21
    There will be opportunity for testimony for those who
22
   haven't testified before each decision-making on each
23
   agenda item.
2.4
              Now I will turn it over to Mr. Clayton Yoshida
25
   to introduce our first item.
```

MR. CLAYTON YOSHIDA: Good morning,
Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission. Clayton
Yoshida with the current Planning Division of the
Planning Department, subbing for Planning Director Jeff
Hunt, who is attending the Council Planning Committee
meeting, as they continue their review of the bed and
breakfast legislation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

The first public hearing item is a request from Ms. Cheryl Okuma, Director, Department of Environmental Management, for a County Special Use Permit to expand the landfill boundaries by 41.2 acres to encompass Phases V and VI of the Central Maui Landfill at TMK:3-8-003, parcel 004, portion of parcel 020, and portion of parcel 025, Puunene, Island of Maui. And as the Chair had stated, a related item, under Communications, Item 1 is an amendment -- second amendment request to their State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit for the Central Maui Landfill encompassing a 10-year time extension expansion of boundaries by 41.2 acres, additional composting and quarrying, related uses, and question of transfer of the permit holder to the Department of Environmental Management.

The Staff Planner on these items is Robyn Loudermilk.

```
MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Good morning, Members.
 1
 2
   I would like to turn our presentation over to Mike
 3
   Munekiyo of Munekiyo & Hiraga, we have approximately a
 4
    10-minute PowerPoint presentation, and then I will
 5
    follow up with some additional information from the
 6
   report.
 7
              CHAIR STARR: Okay. Before turning it over, I
 8
   would just like to ask you to explain what's being asked
 9
   of us.
10
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Okay.
11
              CHAIR STARR: And the interrelation between
12
   the -- actually, two items --
13
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
              CHAIR STARR: -- plus, I guess, the Ameron
14
15
    items, also.
16
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Okay. This morning,
17
   Ladies and Gentlemen, the two projects that we have
18
   before you, one is the Central Maui Landfill, and the
19
    second project is the Ameron Quarry. The Ameron Quarry
20
   has been located on this property since the -- in some
21
    form since the mid-sixties. However, the current Land
22
    Use Commission Special Permit was granted back in 1977.
23
              In the early 1980s, the County of Maui was
24
    required to close their Waikapu landfill. And they
25
    needed to identify other areas on island Maui in order
```

to establish a new landfill. The establishment of the landfill was done on previously quarried properties in Puunene that were quarried by Ameron Hawaii.

2.3

Since 1986, Ameron Hawaii, the County of Maui, as well as the property owner, Alexander & Baldwin, have been working together to coordinate expansion of quarrying activities. Then that will allow the expansion of the landfill to where we are today in which the Central Maui Landfill is ready to expand into the next phases. Ameron has continued quarrying into the future identified areas for the landfill. And that there will be a lot of overlapping information when it comes to the physical and natural resources.

So our -- our intent this morning is twofold. First, to provide background specific to each of the separate projects before you, and then, secondly, to be able to identify and further highlight the relationship between the two projects for you.

CHAIR STARR: Why are there two separate items for the landfill?

MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: For the landfill, there are two separate items. The landfill was established in 1986. The current location is covered under a Land Use Commission Special Use Permit that was issued back in '96. And at that time the property was zoned Interim.

```
With the adoption of the Agricultural zoning -- and in
1
2
   the Interim District, the public and government
   facilities are allowable use. With the adoption of the
 3
   -- at the Council of the Agricultural Zoning District,
 4
 5
   in December of 1998, landfilling was identified as a
 6
   special use.
 7
              In 2001, a County Special Use Permit was
   issued. However, only for a five-acre portion of the
 8
   landfill. And that area was the -- the entranceway, the
 9
   administration building, the new driveway and so forth,
10
11
   that did not cover the quarrying operations. So the
12
   special -- the Commission's Special Permit today will be
   a new County Special Use Permit encompassing 70 acres
13
14
   and incorporating the five acres that was previously
15
   permitted.
16
              Any questions on the need for the two separate
17
   permits?
18
              CHAIR STARR: When we start with the --
19
   Mr. Munekiyo's presentation.
20
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
                                     Okay.
21
              CHAIR STARR: I think you cleared that up
22
   well. Thank you.
23
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
                                     Thank you.
24
              MR. MIKE MUNEKIYO: Good morning, Mr. Chair,
   Members of the Commission. My name is Mike Munekiyo.
25
```

am here this morning on behalf of the County's

Department of Environmental Management. And I think

some of the questions that the Chair had will be

answered by our brief PowerPoint presentation.

So if you could start with the first slide, Erin.

This is an overview of the entire area. And for those of you who were able to attend the workshop a few weeks ago, I think you might have some reference point. But just to start from ground zero here, this is Pulehu Road down here. This is the entrance facility to the landfill, which is where the public would enter. There is the public recycling services area, the public dropoff area for waste disposal, the administration building, the weigh station, so forth. So this is the area that Robyn had referred to as having received the Special Use Permit for five acres only.

Separate -- separately, as we -- just for orientation purposes, this is where Ameron has completed excavation and quarrying. They're moving into this area here. Quarrying actually proceeds in this direction.

And that's ongoing.

This area here is the -- what is referred to as Phases I, II and III of the landfill. And I will talk a little bit more about that in a minute.

And, finally, this area here is the Ameron production facilities. And we'll speak about that in a minute.

So if I can get the next slide.

This area that is outlined in blue are the current landfill boundaries. Again, this is Phases I, II and III. Phases I and II, this is a closed portion of the landfill, Phase III in this area here. And some of you may recall the composting facility, that eco composting area here. This is Phase IV, the current operational area for the Central Maui Landfill which encompasses the public entry area.

Now, on this slide, I will speak a little bit more about this area in a minute, but this is where the quarrying has already been completed.

Next slide.

Okay. So the Phase IV of the landfill, that area which we saw in blue in the previous slide, is expected to reach its design capacity in the year 2009. So, as a result, the Department of Environmental Management is seeking to expand its boundaries to encompass Phases V and VI. And the total area for the Phase V and VI area is 41.2 acres.

The objective here is to have Phases V and VI ready for operations by March 2009.

Next slide.

So, again, just to recap, this is the expansion area that we are talking about, approximately 41.2 acres.

The next slide that I am gonna show -- I am gonna hold it right now, but just for reference purposes, this is tax parcel 3-8-025, parcel 25. This is Parcel 20 and parcel 04, portion of parcel 04. And the reason I just called this out, again, is for reference purposes. Again, 25 here, Parcel 20 and parcel 04, portion.

Next slide.

Okay. So here is the reference that I just spoke about. Parcel 25 is that existing Phase IV area. The reason I kind of called this out to the Commission's attention is that the land use designations for the areas are Agricultural for Community Plan zoning and the State Land Use Boundary. Again, this is the Phase IV area. Within the expansion area, we have -- I guess this is within the 41.2-acre expansion area, across the board Agricultural Land Use zoning designations. And for Parcel 4 -- really, across Parcel 4 in the 41.2 acre, we have Agricultural zoning across the board as well. So we are dealing with all Ag lands at the Community Plan zoning and State Land Use Commission

levels.

Next slide.

Just a recap. Effectively, this is the Public/Quasi-Public Community Plan area. This area, of course, encompasses Phase I and II as well as the eco composting area. Phase IV, Phase V and VI, the proposed expansion area. Again, across the board land use designations, Agricultural.

Next slide.

Just to recap what Robyn had mentioned, there are a number of requests that are before the Commission today.

First of all, the Department is seeking a time extension of 10 years for the State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit. And this is referred to as SP 97-390. The 97 refers to the year that the Special Use Permit was issued, so the permit was issued in 1997. 10 years has passed in 2007. Prior to its expiration, the County did file a request for time extension. And that is one request before you today.

Secondly, the Department is seeking to amend the boundaries of the Special Use Permit by 41.2 acres to allow the expansion in two phases, V and VI, as was indicated in previous slides.

And, third, to allow the County a Special Use

1 Permit encompassing approximately 70 acres. I will show 2 this area in a minute.

And, finally, to transfer the permit from the -- what was previously held by the Department of Public Works and Environmental Management to the Department of Environmental Management. You might recall, a couple years ago, there was a charter amendment which split the Department of Public Works and Environmental Management into two departments. The Department of Environmental Management being a standalone department. The permit, by this request, will now be held by the Department of Environmental Management.

So, briefly, these are the four requests before the Commission this morning.

Next slide.

Just to recap, because I know that was kind of a mouthful, but, again, we are seeking to -- in addition to the time extension request for the Special Use Permit 97-390, we are seeking to amend that SUP, State SUP Permit for this area here.

Next slide.

I spoke about the 70-acres-plus of the County Special Use Permit. This is where the County Special Use Permit that we are asking for would cover approximately 70 acres. It would cover Phases IV, V and

1 | VI.

2.4

So, again, just to keep things separate, the State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit will address the 41.2 acres, the County Special Use Permit will address 70 acres, Phases IV, V and VI.

Next slide.

And that's it. We would be happy to answer any questions the Commission may have at this point.

We do have with us, of course, the representatives from the Department. We have their consultant here as well. So any questions the Commissioners may have, we would be more than willing to answer.

CHAIR STARR: Before we start with questions, could you have someone from the Department run us through how -- how it works? I know some of us got to see it, but -- but the different -- what the different areas are used for?

MR. MIKE MUNEKIYO: Okay. Sure.

CHAIR STARR: And what mechanically is taking place here?

MR. MIKE MUNEKIYO: I think, operationally, it might be best if I asked Tracy Takamine. He's the Chief of the Solid Waste Division.

And, Erin, can we pull back up slide number

| three?

CHAIR STARR: Robyn, did you have something?

MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: I -- after your

questions on the operation, there were three areas in

the report that I did want to highlight. So I just

wanted to remind you that I would like the opportunity

to go through those portions.

And that's it.

CHAIR STARR: Okay. Thank you.

MR. TRACY TAKAMINE: Good morning, Commission.

My name is Tracy Takamine. I am the Solid Waste

Division Chief. I guess most of you were on that tour

that we had a few weeks ago, so I will just kind of

highlight the operations of the facility again.

Central Maui Landfill services the entire -basically, the entire Island of Maui except for Hana.

Hana has its own landfill. So we service residential
customers from our own county, pickups and, also,
commercial entities such as condos and businesses.

This is Pulehu Road, again, as Mike mentioned. The entrance facility is the main entrance for all operations of this landfill. All residents come in, also, through this entrance facility, to include our refuse drivers and commercial accounts.

So basically -- I don't have a big blowup of

this. Basically, the -- whoever wants to dispose of municipal solid waste into the facility will come through the entrance facility, turn right and go around. All commercial accounts have to go across our scale and get weighed. Also, our own residential refuse pickups go across the scale and get weighed. So we track all commercial and our residential refuse pickup across the scale. 

We do not weigh individual household residents coming through the facility. They can come through. They bypass the scale. They are not charged at this time. All commercial accounts are charged. And our residents -- our own residents pickups are not charged, but they are weighed. So we are tracking very detailed tracking of all weights coming through, tonnages coming through into this facility.

The residents drop off their refuse at the dropoff bins. And we have -- I think there were -- what is that three, Mike, three bins, dropoff? Four? Four. Four dropoff bins there, each can accommodate two cars. So we have a total of eight stalls.

Also, the residents can, also, at that facility, drop off -- we have a recycle facility, that was on the top side of the facility, for cardboard, glass, plastics and aluminum. We used to have a HI5

redemption center at the facility. But due to the lack of customers using it, it was discontinued recently.

We also have the commercial green waste operators coming through the facility. And they also go across a scale and get weighed and then they proceed onto the eco compost facility that you saw, dropoff facility currently located in the future Phase V.

What else happens there?

We also receive FOG, fats, oils and grease, from commercial vendors. This is all their fats, oils and grease that are pumped out of the grease intercepters at all restaurants that are currently operating on the Island of Maui. The FOG is, again, scaled, and we charge the individual customers coming across. And it's taken to the Maui Diesel operation at the eco facility. And I think the charge was \$95 per ton for that one.

And that's it. Any other questions? I think I covered all the operations.

CHAIR STARR: Yeah. We'll have questions in a few minutes. So --

MR. TRACY TAKAMINE: Okay.

23 CHAIR STARR: Yeah. Robyn Loudermilk had some 24 explanation of --

MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Thank you. Yeah.

Commissioners, I just want to highlight some areas in the report. So if we can turn to Page 19, I would like to start off with the Solid Waste Disposal section. And that the solid waste disposal for Maui Island, except for Hana, will continue to be at this location. And in relation to solid waste, the County of Maui is currently updating their integrated Solid Waste Management Plan that was initially adopted in 1994. And this Solid Waste Management Plan provides — serves as a blueprint to provide guidelines for the solid waste management for the County. The requirements for this Solid Waste Management Plan are identified under State law. And, further, the State law provides the process and content requirement for the update.

Beginning in June, 21st, of 2007, there were 12 publicly-noticed meetings during which an advisory committee, appointed by the Mayor, developed solid waste management goals through a consensus-driven process. On May 8, 2008, this committee approved the Draft Solid Waste Management Plan. After this initial draft, a number of public meetings were held to obtain additional comments on the plan. Currently, this draft plan is with the State Department of Health for review and approval.

And as part of this plan, there are four

1 guiding principles. The first is the goal for a 60 2 percent diversion of solid waste from the landfill

3 | through recycling. Second, a WasteTEC facility for

handling remaining solids that are not recyclable.

5 Three, to improve customer service and assistance. And,

6 | four, to improve the Division facilities.

So the expansion that is coming before you is consistent with the draft plan. Again, this particular area has been identified for continuing to accept landfill materials.

And should the principle features of the plan be adopted, the life capacity of the landfill could be extended from 2024 to approximately 2042 as a closure date.

And in relation to the WasteTEC, that is a term that was developed by this advisory committee to identify a waste-to-energy facility in general. There was no specific technologies identified at the time, rather more of the concept.

The next area I would like to go over are the environmental impacts. That as part of the operation of the landfill, the applicant continues to meet the requirements of the State Department of Health for noise and air quality impacts. To further minimize odors from the landfill, ground cover is applied on a daily basis.

And this ground cover is comprised of soils. And the soils are crushed material. And is applied in accordance with the DOH rules on a daily basis. On a daily basis, approximately 500 tons of ground cover is used, which is equivalent to approximately 315 cubic yards.

From previous reviews at the Land Use

Commission, wind-blown debris has been a concern. The

applicant has put in place a number of mechanisms to try

to minimize the trash bags blowing around. You know,

right now, a series of 16-foot high portable fences are

-- have been installed downwind to capture wind-blown

debris. And, also, Ka Lima o Maui provides a crew of

five persons to pick up litter along the entryway and

Pulehu Road. For the Phase V expansion, in addition to

that, the applicant will be installing litter fences.

A landfill operations and maintenance plan has been reviewed and approved by the State Department of Health. And these cover key components of how refuse is accepted and screened, the compaction and covering, access control, surface water management, leachate management, landfill gas control, environmental monitoring and record keeping.

Also, in this section, we have identified a definition for leachate by the Department of Health. I

will not read it, but it's Item Number 6. And,
basically, the most common source of leachate is
rainwater filtering down through the landfill. However,
through the decomposition process, leachate is also

2.3

2.4

materialized.

So the design of the drainage system as well as the leachate system is very important to ensure that there is no integration of either. And the leachate is managed through a collection and removal system onsite. Basically, there's liners in the pond that are used and PVC pipes that are utilized to transport the leachate to the storage area.

Leachate is disposed of one of two ways. One method is to put it on the landfill itself, to help compaction, on a daily basis. Maybe not on a daily basis, but as needed. And then secondly, leachate can be disposed of through the various wastewater reclamation facilities.

And should the leachate be disposed through the wastewater reclamation facilities, the leachate is then tested.

I had indicated in the report that all leachate is required to be tested by the Department of Health, but that is not -- that is not correct. They do not require testing of the leachate.

The leachate testing is only done with the disposal of the leachate to the wastewater reclamation facility. And this is to ensure that what is going into the facility continues to meet additional Department of Health requirements.

And in relation to comments on the site visit about changing or — the slope of the quarried areas to — or digging deeper to allow for additional capacity, basically, the applicant has not considered the depth greater than what is currently proposed, is that it would actually decrease the capacity a little bit as well as increase operating cost in engineering that would be required to collect the leachate and design the — the wastewater. And that is based upon the existing footprint that we have before you today in terms of the boundaries. So that was the major constraint.

And the last area that I would like to cover is water. There are no potable water wells onsite. However, there are six monitoring wells. And a detection and monitoring program has been developed by the -- in consultation with the Department of Health. And, basically, the monitoring of the groundwater is done on a semiannual basis to determine the absence or presence of landfill leakage or leachate. The last sampling took place in 2008, in which the results

indicated that there were no leakage from the landfill to the groundwater.

Lastly, in -- in relation to the various environmental concerns, the Department did send the applications to the State Department of Health which indicated that they did have no comments to report at this time. And that was consistent, that the Department is currently in compliance with all of their rules and regulations in relation to the solid waste management, groundwater monitoring, air quality, and noise, noise sources.

So that concludes the Department's portion of the presentation.

CHAIR STARR: Okay. Thank you very much, Robyn.

Members, questions for Robyn, for the

Department -- or for the Department? And, also, Dave

Taylor is here from Wastewater.

Commissioner Mardfin.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: I'm sorry. I was trying to take notes and I missed something you just said near the end. You said they have been -- there were studies done that there is no leakage from the landfill to the groundwater?

MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Yes.

```
1
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: When was that done?
 2
             MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: The last one was done
 3
   in June of this year.
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: And how do they know?
 4
 5
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: There are a number of
 6
   organisms that they are required to test for. And --
 7
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     Are they drilling down
 8
   to the groundwater?
9
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Well, you want to know
10
   how the testing is done?
11
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Right.
12
             MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
                                     I cannot answer that.
13
              CHAIR STARR: Do we have someone from
14
   Department that can answer that? Cheryl.
15
             MS. CHERYL OKUMA: We have our consultant,
16
   Ali.
17
              CHAIR STARR: Yeah, please introduce yourself
18
   for the record.
19
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: Good morning. My name is
20
   Ali McRoselene. I'm a licensed engineer in the State of
21
   Hawaii.
            And our consulting business is only landfill,
22
    so I am not a groundwater expert. But we have our
23
   experts. But I can answer your question.
24
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     Okay.
25
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: By regulation, Federal
```

and State regulation, we have to test the leachate and see what is in the leachate at least annually, or often. There is actually new regulation, I can't remember it, how often they have to do it. But we find out what are the components that is in our leachate. So we know the signature of the different components that are in there. Then we go sample the groundwaters and we look for those components that is detected in the leachate. That's all by regulation. And if we find any trace of the same material in our groundwater, then that is how we conclude whether there's a impact or not. There is a statistical procedures, there is — it's really complicated. Again, I am not a groundwater expert. But that's how you do it.

So it's -- definitely, you can detect if there is landfill impact to the groundwater. And that's what they have. They have hot groundwater wells into the groundwater. They have downgradient and upgradient wells. They know what is coming through the landfill and what is leaving the landfill. And that's how you can detect if there is anything -- any impact to the groundwater. And if they say there is no impact, that means they don't see a signature from the landfill in the groundwater.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Okay. I think I

```
1
   understand it. Let me repeat what you said. And if I
 2
   get it wrong, correct me.
 3
              MR. ALI MCROSELENE:
                                   Okav.
 4
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     First, you test the
   leachate to find out what things are in it so you get a
 5
   signature for it. So if you find it in the groundwater
 6
 7
    leaving the landfill area, you say we got a problem?
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: Correct.
 8
 9
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: You have groundwater
10
   wells, some of them upstream?
              MR. ALI MCROSELENE:
11
                                   Yes.
12
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     Stream is not the right
13
   word.
14
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: Upgradient.
15
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Upgrade from the
16
   landfill?
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: Yes.
17
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     That gives you a
18
19
   baseline test for what is in there to begin with?
20
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: Correct.
21
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: You have a well at --
22
   at --
23
              MR. ALI MCROSELENE:
                                   Downgradient.
24
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     Down --
25
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: Downgradient.
```

```
1
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Downgradient.
 2
         I want to say stream. Downgradient. Where, if
 3
    leachate were getting in there, you would be able to
   test it?
 4
 5
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: That's correct.
 6
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Do you happen to know
 7
   how many groundwater wells there are?
 8
             MR. ALI McROSELENE: I believe it's six.
 9
   we don't do the groundwater sampling.
10
             COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: But they sort of do it
11
   all around?
12
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: That's correct.
13
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     Okay.
14
             MR. ALI McROSELENE: Semiannually, they will
15
   go and sample the wells. Take the samples, that is
16
   specific --
17
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Right.
18
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: -- procedures, they send
19
   the samples to the lab. There is a timeframe that he
20
   has to get to the lab. They put it in ice, send it to
21
   the lab. Lab tests the material, and they would produce
22
   a report.
23
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Right.
24
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: And then, from that
25
   report, the groundwater expert will look at it and look
```

at those signatures, do some statistical analysis, and 1 2 then report it to DOH. And that's how they can prove 3 whether there is impact or not. 4 COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Right. I get the way 5 they do it. I just want to ensure that -- at least for this landfill that there are sufficient wells around it 6 7 so they are below grade on it and they would definitely be getting water that had passed under the landfill. 8 9 MR. ALI MCROSELENE: 10 COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Groundwater that had 11 passed under the landfill. 12 MR. ALI MCROSELENE: That is highly regulated, 13 highly regulated, Federal and the State. And that's 14 what we have to prove --15 COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Well, this --16 MR. ALI McROSELENE: -- to the State, that we 17 have enough groundwater wells and we have appropriate 18 procedures. 19 COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: It doesn't happen in 20 They don't have it where they could test ground 21 -- below grade. So that's why I am asking whether they, 22 at least for this landfill, do it the right way. 23 MR. ALI MCROSELENE: Yes. 24 COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Sounds like they do. 25 MR. ALI McROSELENE: This landfill -- there

```
are some landfills that are exempt. Because
1
 2
   when they're too small, there is some exempt -- Federal
   and State exemption. But this landfill is not exempt
 3
   and they do monitor the groundwaters.
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Okay. Thank you very
 5
 6
   much.
 7
             MR. ALI McROSELENE: You're welcome.
 8
              CHAIR STARR: Commissioner Hedani.
              COMMISSIONER HEDANI: Question for the same
 9
   testifier, for your expert.
10
11
             MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Commissioner Mardfin,
12
   if you look at Exhibit Number 42, it identifies the
13
   location of the monitoring wells --
14
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Thank you.
             MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: -- in relation to the
15
   landfill.
16
17
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Thank you.
18
              COMMISSIONER HEDANI:
                                    I'm sorry. I didn't get
19
   your name.
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: Ali McRoselene.
20
21
              COMMISSIONER HEDANI: Mr. McRoselene, under
22
   Appendix A of the report that was submitted, on Page A2,
   there's an indication that the level of the TDS is 4,910
23
    in your highest sample, and the Hawaii drinking water
24
25
    standards is 500. Can you tell me what that is?
```

MR. ALI McROSELENE: I am not familiar with 1 2 the table, but I can answer your question. 3 COMMISSIONER HEDANI: Okav. MR. ALI McROSELENE: Because we don't do the 4 groundwater sampling. But TDS is total dissolved 5 6 solids. And in groundwater, or in any water that it 7 comes in contact with dirt, there are some natural background TDS values. And, of course, if there is 8 TDS's, there's, again, solids. And if it's in drinking 9 10 water, you don't want to drink it. So there's standards 11 for it. It's not a natural. And if they say it meets 12 the requirements, it probably does. Again, I'm not --13 we are not the company that does the groundwater 14 sampling or testing. 15 COMMISSIONER HEDANI: Okay. Thank you. 16 CHAIR STARR: Commissioner Mardfin. COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: I don't know whether I 17 18 want to speak to you again or Robyn. Let me try with 19 Robyn. I looked up -- I just found Exhibit 42. 20 MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Uh-huh. 21 COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: I see that the 22 approximate groundwater flow direction, as of June 11, 2008, is -- I can't tell whether that's east, west, 23 24 north or south, but whichever way it's going on this 25 map, from right to left. And it seems to be, under

```
Phases I, II and -- it looks like it would pick up good
1
 2
    stuff between -- from Phases I, II and III. They have
 3
   got monitoring well one, which would be up -- looks to
 4
   me like it's upgrade of the landfill. IV and VI are
 5
    sort of bracketing it partway down. II, III and V would
   probably pick up anything that was leachate -- leachate
 6
   that was getting into the groundwater below Phases I, II
 8
   and III.
             I am looking now at Phases IV, V and VI, and I
 9
   don't see any monitoring wells downgradient of that,
    that would pick up stuff that was going into the
10
11
    leachate.
12
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: You have monitoring
13
   well six.
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Monitoring well six is
14
15
   upflow.
16
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA: Maybe I can -- Cheryl
17
   Okuma.
           You are referring to Phases V and VI which --
18
              COMMISSIONER MARDEIN:
                                     Yes.
19
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA: Phase V and VI of the
20
    landfill, those -- those portions have not been built
21
   yet.
22
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Right. Are you
23
   planning to build --
24
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA: So I'm sure that we will be
25
   looking at the well situation once we move into those
```

```
1
   phases.
 2
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Then the only well that
 3
   would pick up Phase IV is monitoring well five, is that
    correct? Well, you are basically saying one, two and
 4
 5
    three haven't been giving it -- my reading of this map
 6
    is I, II and III haven't been giving any problems.
 7
    would only be picked up by boundering well five.
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: All of the wells are
 8
 9
   monitored. All of the wells are tested.
10
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Yeah.
11
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
                                     Well five is for the
12
    Phase IV. The other phases have not been constructed.
13
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     Right.
14
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
                                     That does not preclude
15
   that there may be requirements for additional wells to
16
   be --
17
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Right.
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
18
                                     -- installed.
19
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     Okay.
20
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
                                     Yeah. That does not
21
   preclude.
22
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     For Phase IV, there is
23
    only one well that could pick up leachate?
24
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
                                     Probably, at this point
    in time.
25
```

```
COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     It's the -- it's the
 1
 2
    only well down -- downgradient of Phase IV.
 3
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
                                     Okay.
 4
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: All the rest are across
 5
    or something else.
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Okay. So well five.
 6
 7
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     I just -- I just wanted
 8
    to make sure that I understood what was going on.
 9
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
                                     Sure.
10
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: And that new monitoring
11
   wells would be drilled before you go ahead with V and
12
    VT.
        Because I want to make sure that the wells are
13
   drilled where they would actually pick it up.
                                                   It looks
14
    like they did a great job for Phases I, II and III.
15
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
                                     The difference --
16
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: And IV is maybe pick it
17
   up and maybe wouldn't, depending on -- I see a gulch in
   between here kind of.
18
19
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Yes, Kalialinui.
20
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Kalialinui.
21
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
                                     Yes.
22
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: And that may change the
23
   groundwater flow on the other side of the -- of the
24
    gulch.
            I don't know. There is no arrow showing which
25
   way the groundwater flow is, so we don't know. I mean,
```

```
the ground -- it may be that it's so far underground
 1
 2
    that the flow is the same. And I am -- I quess it would
 3
   be nice if I knew that. But it looks okay.
                                                 But IV
 4
    looks like it's the only one that would pick up any
 5
    leachate.
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Ali will come up and
 6
 7
    address that.
 8
              MR. ALI MCROSELENE:
                                   The design of the next VI
 9
    is our responsibility.
10
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     Okav.
11
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: And we are going to have
12
    to propose the groundwaters that is gonna control those
    phases. And the person that is gonna do that is one of
13
14
   my employees. He is actually the person who has written
15
    the guidance manual for groundwater protection for the
16
    State of Hawaii. He is very proud of what he does and
17
   how he does it. And so when he proposes the wells, it
18
    will be definitely -- I will be very comfortable that we
19
    would detect anything from Phase V and VI.
20
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     Okay.
21
              MR. ALI MCROSELENE:
                                   It will be done
22
    correctly.
23
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: They -- they probably
24
    -- that's okay.
25
              MR. ALI MCROSELENE: It is complicated.
                                                       It's
```

```
1
   a science of its own.
 2
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     No.
                                          I --
 3
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: How you do it, where you
 4
   do it and how deep you have to go. And, again, that
 5
   will be done.
                   It is a requirement.
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Is it likely that
 6
 7
    groundwater flow direction would be different, on
   different sides of the gulch, or is that unlikely.
 8
 9
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: Generally not.
10
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Generally the same?
11
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: Generally it -- whatever
12
   the groundwater flow is, that's -- as is shown in the --
13
    in the drawing, it's probably the same direction.
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Because it's so much
14
15
   below.
           At what --
16
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
                                     I would like to have
17
   you go to Exhibit Number 45.
18
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Okay.
19
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Because it will show
   the flows with the build-out.
20
21
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: At what depth is the
22
   groundwater compared to the surface?
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: The Department of Water
23
24
    Supply did not provide that information for us.
25
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     Okay.
```

```
CHAIR STARR: Okay. I have a question for the
 1
 2
    consultant. Could you please come up? I would like to
 3
    know what the leachate is tested for.
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: Again, we don't do the
 4
 5
    testing.
             But there is, actually, a requirement for
 6
    regulation, State regulation. You look at the
 7
    leachate -- you look at -- the leachate is the liquid
 8
    that comes with the trash. There is normally 20 gallons
    of water in some sort, in the banana peels and so on,
 9
10
    that comes -- it's the moisture that comes with the
11
            Then there is rain that comes over the trash and
    trash.
12
    it comes through the trash. Normally, it's about 20
    gallon per cubic yard. And that's what it comes out.
13
14
    They take the sample of that liquid that comes out, and
15
    they will see what is in there. There are certain
16
    components that Federal regulation and State regulation
17
    requires that you have to test, if you see them in the
18
    leachate.
19
              CHAIR STARR:
                            Yeah.
                                   And could you tell us
20
    what is tested -- what is being tested for?
21
              MR. ALI McROSELENE: It's actually a table.
22
    It's a very significant table.
23
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: I -- I can assist him
24
   because we have the groundwater report.
25
              CHAIR STARR: Uh-huh.
```

MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: In -- in here that 1 2 identifies --3 COMMISSIONER HEDANI: A2 and A3. 4 MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: No. It's part of the 5 -- should be part of the Department's report. Not the 6 -- not the exhibit, the main exhibit. 7 I apologize that I did not put that -- that 8 table inside the -- inside the report. 9 CHAIR STARR: I was specifically looking for 10 I think I would have seen it. I did ask some 11 questions at our site inspection regarding, you know, 12 heavy metals and pharmaceuticals and so on. And the 13 response we got was that those are not being tested for. 14 I would like to ask the expert. Say someone 15 dumped a whole lot of some really toxic chemical or 16 pharmaceutical into the trash and it went into --17 obviously, it's going to end up in the soup in the 18 leachate, and then it is not tested for. What -- what 19 happens then, it gets put into the injection wells? 20 MR. ALI MCROSELENE: Well, the leachate that 21 is collected, it gets -- basically, goes back into the 2.2 trash in the landfill. 23 CHAIR STARR: No, it doesn't. That's -you're -- you're incorrect. For an expert, you really 24 have very little knowledge, sir. 25

MS. CHERYL OKUMA: Excuse me. No, no. I believe what you are asking for is what happens to the leachate when it goes into the wastewater facility. And we have Dave Taylor here --

CHAIR STARR: Yeah.

MS. CHERYL OKUMA: -- who can address that.

CHAIR STARR: Okay. Dave, say there is some horrible poison that is put into the trash and it ends up in the leachate. What happens to it when it gets taken to your facility? You know, it's not an organic that would get broken down by your facility, but something else.

MR. DAVE TAYLOR: Good morning. I am Dave Taylor, I am the Wastewater Division Chief.

Basically, what you are asking is -- it's the same as if anyone dumped anything down their drain that was nonorganic. So everything that goes to the wastewater treatment plant is either an organic material, like the stuff we're made of that gets broken down, or it's an inorganic material that either ends up in the water or in the biosolids. That's the only two places everything ends up. So it either goes down the injection wells or it's in the biosolids that go to recycling -- or that go to composting. Everything is tested, both biosolids and water are tested, due to

following EPA and Department of Health standards, which 1 2 test for a variety of substances. So we are -- we have 3 to comply with those tests and -- and that methodology. 4 COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Do they test for heavy 5 metals and mercury? 6 MR. DAVE TAYLOR: Yes. Those are two things 7 that are tested for. 8 CHAIR STARR: How about pharmaceuticals? 9 MR. DAVE TAYLOR: Pharmaceuticals are part of 10 a wider -- a wider range of subjects called 11 microconstituents. We have a few molecules of 12 pharmaceuticals or few molecules of personal care 13 products. You know, there's literally probably tens of 1-4 thousands or millions of things that we use as human 15 beings. And there's a few molecules of this or that, 16 that are getting combined. And no one really knows what 17 happens to the stuff. And no one can really test for it 18 because they're in far too small quantities for 19 anything, really, but the -- the highest level 20 laboratories in the country to test for. So these 21 things end up in either the water or in the biosolids, 22 as they do everywhere else in the world, also. 23 CHAIR STARR: You know, I have to say I have a 24 real concern that this leachate, which is, you know, 25 really a toxic mix of everything that goes into the

landfill is handled very well at the landfill and the 1 2 liners keep it out of the groundwater. But then it gets 3 taken -- and, I believe, 192,500 gallons of this leachate was added in the last year into the intake of 4 5 the Kahului and the Kihei Wastewater Treatment Plants. 6 And that all of that either was put into the injection 7 wells, which would mean it would end up on the reef, or 8 it ended up in the solid which is the compost that people are putting in their gardens. Do you have any 9 10 concern about -- about this? Because it doesn't sound 11 like they're testing for everything. It sounds like 12 there are some specific things that are being -- that this is being tested from. And it just seems like, you 13 14 know, you're segregating out the worst poisons that 15 where -- you know, people are throwing away, there's no 16 way of knowing it's a dry -- someone puts drums of 17 dry-cleaning fluid, or whatever, in there and it's 18 ending up in your system. 19 MR. DAVE TAYLOR: Just to correct one thing I 20 said. About 22 percent of our water also goes to reuse. 21 So water either goes down the injection wells to 22 irrigation or the solids, again, go to composting. 23 You noted that 192,000 gallons of leachate 24 went to our system. That got mixed with about two

billion gallons of sewage. So just to put that into

25

perspective. I mean, there's an enormous amount of water that this 92 -- 192,000 gallons got mixed with.

I am not an expert in the field of microconstituents, nor is, really, most people in wastewater. I just returned -- actually, today is my first day back at work. I just got back from the National Wastewater Conference in Chicago where, anticipating these questions today, I went -- I spent about two hours in some seminars from the leading world experts on microconstituents in wastewater, which were covering exactly these questions and the concern raised.

The biggest concern globally is with drinking water supply. And that's where the research really is. There is some concern that these few molecules of this and pharmaceuticals and dry-cleaning products and all these various chemicals that people use that eventually find themselves -- find their way to the wastewater treatment plants, in very, very minute quantities, you know, may have some effect on fish health or human health over a very long period of time. It's a very new field. It's very difficult to do research because the results will be, you know, possibly decades from now as the first time people will really know anything. And there are so many different inorganic molecules that are used in our daily lives that the number of combinations

are infinite. And the studies are very preliminary. 1 2 The science is very preliminary. 3 And I don't know that anyone can really answer your question. Because the tiny minute quantities that 4 5 just get distributed within the water and wastewater systems are so small that no one can really pick them up 6 7 in a realistic methodology. CHAIR STARR: Is it -- is it common accepted 8 practice to put a landfill leachate into the intake of 9 10 wastewater treatment plants? 11 MR. DAVE TAYLOR: Yes, it is. 12 CHAIR STARR: Commissioner Mardfin. COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: On your injection 13 14 wells, how far underground is that injected? 15 MR. DAVE TAYLOR: The injection wells vary in 16 They're usually a couple of hundred feet. depth. 17 Basically, you drill the injection wells down until the geology is such that the upper geology, the rock, is 18 19 nonporous, so it keeps the water from coming up too early. So, essentially, you drill down until you hit 20 some porous rock with some harder nonporous rock on top 21 22 of it. So the injection wells aren't a set depth. 2.3 drill until you hit the right geology locations. 24 COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Are you injecting it

below where the groundwater is?

25

MR. DAVE TAYLOR: Oh, far below. I mean, they're a couple hundred feet deep.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: So this sounds like an answer we had to an earlier -- months ago, we asked a similar kind of question. Basically, again, correct me if -- I'm going to say it. Correct me if I say it wrong.

Basically, you're injecting this potentially poisonous stuff, either dilute or concentrate, but you are putting it so far down and below impermeable rock that it's unlikely to seep up into the groundwater, and it's far below the groundwater where we take our drinking water from?

MR. DAVE TAYLOR: Not only -- what you said is true. And not only is that true, but the State

Department of Health has established what they call the underground injection control line. Basically, they take a map of the island and they've drawn a line kind of following the coastline, but inland, and said you cannot put an injection well mauka of that line. And that line is far below drinking water aquifers. So all of our injection wells are far makai of any drinking water sources. And because the general flow of underground water on our island goes downward to the ocean, and the water is -- fresh water is lighter than

| saltwater, it comes up and moves makai.

So there's really no concern that wastewater injection wells from our plants affect drinking water sources. That's -- no one is really raising that concern because of both the engineering of the injection wells and the underground injection control line methodology.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Is it also deep enough that it couldn't come up and affect coral reefs?

MR. DAVE TAYLOR: That water is coming up in the nearshore waters. And so that water is eventually coming into the ocean where there are coral reefs.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: I thought you said that you were putting it below impermeable rock. If it's below impermeable rock, how would it get into the nearshore ocean?

MR. DAVE TAYLOR: For example, in Kihei, the plant is probably about a mile inland. So that water is coming out to the ocean, probably not too far from shore, according to the studies. So in Kahului, the — right behind the Kahului plant, there's a reef about probably half a mile out. So it — it could be coming out in those areas. You know, the reef goes pretty far out.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: So we're -- we don't

1 have to be worried about groundwater, but we do have to 2 be worried about reef health? 3 MR. DAVE TAYLOR: Whether or not you have to be worried is really beyond me. But -- but the -- the 4 5 hydrogeological studies that have been done show that, 6 of course, the water moves makai and it migrates into 7 the ocean. 8 COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Okay. 9 CHAIR STARR: Yeah, Clayton. 10 MR. CLAYTON YOSHIDA: Yes. Mr. Chairman, it was brought to my attention that in the Land Use 11 12 Commission Special Use Permit application dated July '96, that was distributed to you, in Appendix A, there 13 14 is groundwater quality data and it does list the analyte 15 and the lowest and highest amounts found in the sample, 16 and, if there is a drinking water standard, it lists the 17 drinking water standard. 18 CHAIR STARR: That's on Page A1. 19 MR. CLAYTON YOSHIDA: That's in Appendix --20 CHAIR STARR: A2, A3. 21 MR. CLAYTON YOSHIDA: Correct. 22 CHAIR STARR: Okay. Beryllium, cadmium, 23 calcium, chromium. 24 Mr. Taylor, you were COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:

also saying that -- I mean, I guess I'm convinced that I

25

don't have to worry about the water that I drink. I do still have to be at least somewhat concerned about the reefs, but you were also saying that, by the time it gets there, it's so dilute that I shouldn't be overly concerned.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DAVE TAYLOR: Well, how much you're concerned is really up to you. I mean, the facts that are known are -- there have been hydrogeological studies done, computer models by hydrogeologists who don't have any particular knowledge about aquatic biology. have just tried to say -- look at how does water move through the ground, how does it spread through the coral, where does it come up, how much does it get diluted, how long does it take the water to make that journey. And what we've seen from those models and analyses is the water is very diluted by the time it mixes with everything. And it takes years as it moves through the coral until it gets to the ocean. Probably two to five years from the time we put water down an injection well until the time it actually comes out of the coral into the ocean. So there is certainly more biological reactions and a lot of filtering done as it's moving through this porous rock.

So to give an example, you know, if I mix something up and flush it down a drain today, and if I

could track that molecule, if it ever came to the ocean, it would be two to five years from today. And whether or not that would even make it to the ocean or get caught up in the porous rock, nobody knows. So I can't tell you how worried to be or not to be.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Okay

2.

MR. DAVE TAYLOR: Because that is really the limits of our science. And that limit probably isn't going to change in our lifetime. You know, you're talking about trying to track some single molecules that are very small through a very complex hydrogeologic system. Certainly there are some people that are concerned. Whether or not there's science to back that concern is up for some conjecture. And not everyone agrees. So there's very little --

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: The two to five years doesn't console me very much. But the fact it could be filtered out some other place and left under there does help.

CHAIR STARR: Tracy.

MR. TRACY TAKAMINE: I would like to make a comment to that. The volume that you're looking at, I want to emphasize, too, that's entire year's worth.

When we -- when we pump leachate, number one, we pump it out and it might be -- might take only a few thousand

gallons to the treatment plant at a time. We are trying and we have permission from DOH to reuse the leachate on the landfill on the working face. So our primary objective is not to take any leachate off of the landfill, if possible. So what we can do and we're authorized to do is we have water tankers that we pump it and then we go back to the working face, spray on the working face for litter, dust control, and, you know, evaporation. And try to -- try to maintain it that way. That's our main -- main disposal method.

2:3

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: That leads me to one other question. I saw that. I was going to ask this, anyway, but you bring me right to it.

over and over and over, would the concentration of the bad things in it increase? Or does it -- I can see where it might increase because you are using it over and over and over. On the other hand, you are getting rainwater and other things that are kind of diluting it out. Is there any way to know whether the leachate concentrations are getting more and more?

MR. TRACY TAKAMINE: I can't answer that question for sure. But when -- when it's redeposited over the -- over the working face, you know, it can get -- tend to get caught -- again caught up or maintained

```
in the working face by the time it filtrates down.
 1
   are in an area that's very light rainfall. We don't get
   much rainfall. So by reutilizing it again, you know, we
 3
   can maintain it and keep it -- keep it in the landfill
 4
 5
   itself.
 6
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: So -- so the ground --
   the ground part of the landfill might be getting greater
 7
   concentrations of bad stuff, but it's not getting --
 8
 9
   it's --
              MR. TRACY TAKAMINE: Not all of it will make
10
11
    it down probably to the --
12
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Right. So the leachate
   concentration of bad things isn't increasing over time?
13
14
              MR. TRACY TAKAMINE: I wouldn't think so.
15
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     Thank you.
              CHAIR STARR: Is it possible for you to keep
16
   it all in the landfill, inside the liner, to put it --
17
   use it all on the face? Because, you know, I kind of
18
19
    feel like this is the stuff that's a gift that keeps on
20
    giving once we put it on the reef, you know.
21
              MR. TRACY TAKAMINE: We only recently started
22
   utilizing the landfill -- the lined landfill since 2005.
    So I think -- I think the last time we pumped -- I don't
23
24
    know. Oh, okay, Mr. -- Ali can answer that.
```

MR. ALI McROSELENE: I was confused when you

25

said injection. I meant the injection that we do to the landfill. I didn't understand your question before.

And this is our business. You called me that I am not expert, but I think I am.

Anyway, the leachate, the concentration goes up a little bit because the rainwater comes through.

And -- but later on, because of the bacteria that are in that trash, there's a lot of bacterias, is more than the dirt has. Dirt has a lot of bacterias that cleans and filters material, but trash has many times more. And there are aerobic bacterias and anaerobic bacterias, which our landfills, by regulation, is anaerobic condition. They eat up all this material. And at some point, at some years, it will be actually clean water. It will be all consumed by the bacterias.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Thank you. I presume that goes for hydrocarbons, not for things like mercury and stuff like that?

MR. ALI McROSELENE: Almost everything. The liver of the bacterias will basically digest almost anything. They need food. And there's a lots of them with liquids, they will digest. Most of the material will be digested.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: But that's -- that will be hydrocarbons, not heavy metals.

1 MR. ALI McROSELENE: I think they do that, 2 Again, I'm not expert, but I know the leachate 3 quality gets better over years, many years. And as long 4 as we keep it in the landfill, we can -- and then there's a lot of capacity for the landfill to absorb 5 6 liquids and --7 COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Thank you. 8 MR. ALI McROSELENE: -- keep it in the trash. CHAIR STARR: Members? 9 Okay. 10 I would just like to request that both the 11 Department and -- Mr. Taylor and his Department really 12 stay on top of this because it is a concern. And if 13 there's a way to keep it inside the liner or put it back 14 inside the liner, I think it's better. You know, I 15 don't know what else could be in there, radiological or 16 medical or -- you know, there's all kinds of stuff that 17 could be in there. And I -- it's -- you know, it's 18 definitely a concern. 19 Members -- yeah, Commissioner Mardfin. 20 COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: I had some more questions, but I was waiting to see if my fellow 21 22 commissioners wanted to jump in with anything. 23 CHAIR STARR: Yeah, go ahead. 24 COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: I think this is 25 probably to Cheryl, I guess. My understanding -- I want

```
to understand -- I am finished with asking questions
 1
 2
   about the leachate and that sort of thing. I am
 3
   concerned about -- not concerned. I would like to know
 4
    about the land ownership of this. A&B -- my
 5
   understanding is A&B owns -- because we're asking for an
 6
    extension of time, which is why these questions get
 7
    raised. A&B owns the land, is that correct?
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA: A&B owns -- A&B owns --
 8
    currently owns Phase V and Phase VI which you've heard
 9
10
    about.
11
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     Right.
12
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA: And we are currently
13
   concluding the acquisition for Phase V and, in fact, are
14
   hoping to be able to close escrow on it very shortly
15
   because we did get the County Council's approval to
16
   acquire Phase V.
17
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: And I, II and III are
18
   owned by the County?
19
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA: I, II, III and IV are
20
   already owned by the County.
21
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Okay. That -- that
22
    takes care of one set of questions. I was concerned
23
    that if somebody else owned it --
24
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA:
                                 Right.
25
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: -- what they could do.
```

But if we're acquiring full ownership, then that's not an issue anymore. Thank you.

MS. CHERYL OKUMA: Right.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: I want -- Cheryl, it's you again, I'm afraid. Your integrated Solid Waste Management Plan, I understand from the testimony that, basically, that's now being reviewed for -- the draft plan is under final review.

MS. CHERYL OKUMA: The draft plan has been submitted to the Department of Health. And that's by — all according to State law. The Department of Health is in the review process and, hopefully, will approve it. We have not heard back from them at this point.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Okay. In -- I am concerned -- in -- when you came to Hana and spoke about the landfill issues in Hana, there was consideration to the possibility of bringing -- closing -- making the Hana landfill a standby facility in the future and, basically, bringing most of the stuff over to this major landfill that we've been talking about here. And it was a little unclear to me at the time what the -- I think at the time you said, "We're looking into it," and you didn't know what was happening.

MS. CHERYL OKUMA: Maybe I can be a little clearer on that. It has been the subject of discussion

as part of this Integrated Solid Waste Plan. And that 1 2 is now what is -- that and many other recommendations 3 are now what's before Department of Health. So it's certainly something that has been discussed in the Solid 4 5 Waste Advisory Committee, which was convened by the Mayor as part of this process, has been part of that 6 7 discussion. It has ended up as part of our draft recommendations. And that is now what is before the 8 Department of Health.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: I'm sorry. The draft recommendation is that Hana be just a standby and everything over?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. CHERYL OKUMA: That's one of the recommendations, is to put Hana on a standby status, but still active with the active permit. Right. That has been the discussion, and is, actually, in one of the recommendations.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Okay. My impression was that you were equivocal about it, that maybe we would do that, maybe we wouldn't. And now you're saying that the recommendation is to have Hana be a --

MS. CHERYL OKUMA: The recommendation is to look -- is to consider that. One thing -- one thing I should point -- point out is, as Robyn had pointed out at the beginning, the Solid Waste Draft, which it

```
1
   currently is now, is a blueprint, basically. It's -- a
 2
   lot of different things have been considered in it, but
 3
   we fully realize that, before we actually move forward
 4
   to implement recommendations, we may have to do further
 5
    feasibility studies, we may have to do preliminary
 6
   engineering studies, we may have to look at the
 7
   economics of it. There may be legal issues that go with
 8
   that, labor management issues, for example.
 9
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: You may have to look at
10
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA:
                                 So --
11
12
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: You may have to look at
13
   whether the bridges on the Hana road --
14
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA:
                                 Yes.
15
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: -- can handle that --
16
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA:
                                 Right.
17
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: -- volume, and whether
18
   it's the safety issues.
19
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA: Right. So just because we
   have that plan in place doesn't mean that we're ready to
20
   march right into implementation. We fully recognize
21
22
   that there may be some things that need to happen, some
23
    steps that need to be taken before any such
2.4
    implementation. And that goes for anything that is in
25
    that plan.
```

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: And the idea is that the amount of garbage generated in Hana is relatively so small that it wouldn't -- whether it was a yes or a no, it wouldn't impact this project at all?

MS. CHERYL OKUMA: Well, I think that, and in consideration of the strong desire to increase our recycling rates. When you increase your recycling rates, obviously, you're diverting that out of your landfill.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Right.

MS. CHERYL OKUMA: So that should be decreasing, what's going in.

COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: People in Hana love that stuff. Used to have a really good recycling. People would dump the stuff down there, then somebody would by -- go through later and pick out what they liked. But that's been kind of closed down.

CHAIR STARR: Recycling, Cheryl, in 1994, according to the -- the study, the EA in here, 24 percent of the waste stream was being recycled. Since 1994, we have gone from 24 percent to 30 percent. Many communities in the United States are up in the 60 to 70 percent range. I was at a LEED training last week -- and, in fact, I was really impressed because four of our current planners took the time to -- to spend all day

learning about LEED. A lot of what was talked about there was diverting construction materials from the --from the waste stream. And many construction projects under LEED programs are -- are diverting 60 to 70 percent of -- from -- from the waste stream.

2.2

It seems like we're moving so glacially. Why is it that it's taking so long and what are you gonna do to really increase and double it or more? Because that is really the answer to this.

MS. CHERYL OKUMA: Maybe just to add to what you said. Yes, I just got back from the national conferences as well. And our 30 percent recycling rate is actually pretty good. It's true, there are other communities that are at 60 percent, but I also think you have to ask what do they define as recycling. For example, you've heard Robyn mention technology. We're looking at WasteTEC. Some of those communities consider that recycling, others don't. So, of course, that changes your numbers in terms of how you define it. So we are actually probably pretty good as far as a national average.

But in terms of moving forward, we are very interested and motivated to move forward. Which is why we press so hard when we come before you as well, to -- to try to get the -- the decisions that we need in order

```
to move forward on some of these activities, which
 1
 2
    include landfills, which include recycling activities.
 3
              I think, Mr. Mardfin, you heard that up in
 4
   Hana.
 5
              So we have permit requirements, we have legal
 6
   requirements that have to be met. Unfortunately, that
 7
   does not occur overnight. Our planning process needs to
 8
   be considered in there prior to implementation.
 9
              And so, yes, you're right, it feels like it is
10
   moving very slowly, but I think a lot of that is because
11
    of the process itself.
12
              CHAIR STARR: Okay. Yeah, go ahead, Ward.
13
   Let's wrap it up, though.
14
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Yeah, this is my -- I
15
   think my last batch of questions.
16
              In here, it says they generate five -- 500 to
17
    550 tons of waste per day, in Item 9. And then, later
    on, it says and used 500 tons of ground cover.
18
19
    that's sort of a one-to-one ratio, roughly?
20
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA: I think -- I think those --
21
    those numbers are correct. You want to --
22
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Is that -- I mean, I am
23
    assuming the numbers are correct, which means
24
    one-to-one.
```

25

MS. CHERYL OKUMA: Those numbers should be

```
correct, yes.
 1
 2
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Where does the ground
   cover come from?
 3
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA: The ground cover comes from
 4
 5
   Ameron.
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     So right in the area?
 6
 7
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA: Right in the area.
                                     So when you did your
 8
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
   environmental impact assessment, you were -- you were
 9
10
    essentially looking at the ground cover source as well
11
    as what you were covering up when you were evaluating
12
    this, is that correct?
13
              MS. CHERYL OKUMA: That was done several years
    ago. So I am -- I don't know, Robyn, you want to --
14
15
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Which environmental
16
    assessment are you talking about?
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Well, when you look at
17
    the landfill, you did an environmental impact statement,
18
19
    T think.
20
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK:
                                     Well, there are two.
21
    That's why I am asking.
22
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     I don't know --
23
              MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: There were two that was
24
    -- that were --
25
              COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     I am not referring to
```

any particular one.

7-4

MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Oh.

general, when they did the environmental impact statement, they looked at what the impact of the landfill was, and they looked at the source, in effect. Because it was — it came from the same area, they were looking at the source of the ground cover. And the reason I am asking this is because, as you probably know, in the Hana situation, they look at the landfill and they — and since the cover comes from a few miles away, they didn't at all look at what the impact of taking the ground fill away was. And I just want to make — see that — I just want it sort of on the record that, for this one, they looked at both the ground cover and the landfill together.

MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: I would have to look at the -- at the document. All I know is that cover in general was identified as part of the requirements.

Because when this landfill was constructed, the Federal and State laws had changed compared to Hana, that they were required to go to the standard that they are today. There's some -- there is general discussion that ground cover may come from onsite adjacent or off the property. That -- that was the extent of the -- the discussion.

1 COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: But the quantity of 2 ground cover is sufficient to cover -- to -- as long as landfill is -- the life of the landfill, anticipated 3 life of the landfill is a certain number of years. I 4 5 presume the life of the cover is at least that long. 6 MR. TRACY TAKAMINE: I want to clarify. You 7 know, I cannot answer what was analyzed in the EIS. However, when we do cover material, it can come from any 8 place. We go out for bid. So this cover material could 9 10 come from Hawaiian Cement, it could come from a contractor that's doing work and authorized to cover. 11 12 We don't look at where the material comes from. When we 13 operate the landfill, we go out for a long-term. 14 this case I think it's a five-year bid. Whoever the 15 lowest bidder is that can provide the -- the soil and 16 the crushed rock, it -- he gets the contract. So it 17 just so happens that this working relationship we have with Ameron is convenient because they don't have to 18 19 travel very far, you know, just come across the gulch. 20 So they always provide, in this case and for the past few years, the lowest bid. It's not -- it's not tied to 21 22 Ameron itself. They have just been coming in at the 23 lowest bid the last few contracts. 2.4 COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Is sand being used in 25 any of this?

```
MR. TRACY TAKAMINE: Beg your pardon?
 1
 2
             COMMISSIONER MARDFIN: Is sand being used in
 3
   any of this?
             MR. TRACY TAKAMINE: No. No, we are not --
 4
 5
   we're not using sand.
 6
             COMMISSIONER MARDFIN:
                                     Okay.
 7
              CHAIR STARR: Okay. Members, are we ready for
   a recommendation? Or --
 8
 9
             MS. ROBYN LOUDERMILK: Public testimony.
10
              CHAIR STARR: Yeah, that's right. Let's take
11
   a -- let's take a short break, and then we'll come back
   and have public testimony, recommendation and possible
12
   action. We will be back in order in 10 minutes.
13
14
              (Recess, 10:12 a.m. to 10:23 a.m.)
15
              CHAIR STARR: Maui Planning Commission, on
16
   October 28th, we're back in session. We are still on
17
    Item B-1 with the Central Maui Landfill.
18
              We are going to open up for public testimony,
19
    and then we'll have a recommendation from the
20
    Department. Members of the public wishing to testify,
21
   please come forward. I see Maui Tomorrow is here.
   Welcome. Please introduce yourself. And thank you for
22
23
   joining us today.
24
             MS. IRENE BOWIE: Good morning, Members of the
25
   Planning Commission. I am Irene Bowie, Executive
```